

1 BRIAN M. DAUCHER, Cal. Bar No. 174212  
ROBERT S. BEALL, Cal. Bar. No. 132016  
2 JOSEPH H. TADROS, Cal. Bar. No. 239379  
ASHLEY E. MERLO, Cal. Bar No. 247997  
3 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP  
4 A Limited Liability Partnership  
Including Professional Corporations  
5 650 Town Center Drive, 4th Floor  
Costa Mesa, California 92626-1925  
6 Telephone: (714) 513-5100  
Facsimile: (714) 513-5130  
7 bdaucher@sheppardmullin.com  
bdaucher@sheppardmullin.com  
8

9 Attorneys for Defendants

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
12

13 TRAFFICSCHOOL.COM, INC., a  
California corporation; DRIVERS ED  
14 DIRECT, LLC., a California limited  
liability company,  
15

16 Plaintiffs,

17 v.

18 EDRIVER, INC., ONLINE GURU, INC.,  
FIND MY SPECIALIST, INC., and  
SERIOUSNET, INC., California  
19 corporations; RAVI K. LAHOTI, an  
individual; RAJ LAHOTI, an individual,  
20 and DOES 1 through 10.

21 Defendants.  
22

Case No. CV067561 PA (CWx)  
*The Hon. Percy Anderson*

**DECLARATION OF BRIAN M.  
DAUCHER IN SUPPORT OF EX  
PARTE APPLICATION FOR  
INTERIM STAY OF INJUNCTION  
AND MOTION TO STAY IN  
INJUNCTION**

Hearing Information – Motion for Stay:  
Date: October 14, 2008  
Time: 1:30 p.m.  
Crtrm.: 15

Complaint Filed November 28, 2006  
Trial Commenced: November 6, 2007

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

2

3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

15  
16  
17

18  
19  
20

## **EXHIBIT A**

**Brian Daucher**

**From:** Mina Hamilton [HAMILTON@lbbslaw.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, August 29, 2008 12:52 PM  
**To:** Brian Daucher  
**Cc:** DAN DECARLO; DAVID MAKOUS; Ashley Merlo  
**Subject:** RE: DMV.ORG -Injunction

Brian,

Yes, our clients will oppose both the application and the motion on the basis that there are no legitimate grounds for a stay (or your client's appeal) and the interests of our clients and the public will not be served by any further delay.

As you know, there is no automatic stay period under rule 62(a)(1), so we trust your clients will indeed come into compliance today and before any order from Judge Anderson on these issues.

Regards,

Mina I. Hamilton, Esq.  
Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP  
221 North Figueroa Street, Suite 1200  
Los Angeles, California 90012  
(213) 250-1800 (phone)  
(213) 250-7900 (fax)  
e-mail: hamilton@lbbslaw.com

\*\*\*\*\*  
This message may contain information that is  
privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure  
under applicable law. If you are not the intended  
recipient (or authorized to act on behalf of the  
intended recipient) of this message, you may not  
disclose, forward, distribute, copy, or use this  
message or its contents. If you have received this  
communication in error, please notify us immediately  
by return e-mail and delete the original message from  
your e-mail system. Thank you.  
\*\*\*\*\*

>>>

**From:** "Brian Daucher" <BDaucher@sheppardmullin.com>  
**To:** "Mina Hamilton" <HAMILTON@lbbslaw.com>  
**CC:** "Ashley Merlo" <AMerlo@sheppardmullin.com>  
**Date:** 8/29/2008 10:17 AM  
**Subject:** RE: DMV.ORG -Injunction

Mina,

The purpose of this email is to confer with you on our plan to file a motion to stay the injunction pending appeal (FRCP 62/FRAP 8). This will be accompanied by an ex parte to stay the injunction pending hearing of the motion to stay.

EXHIBIT A PAGE 2

8/29/2008

The grounds for these requests will be that there are legitimate questions on appeal both as to the scope/necessity of the injunction and the underlying finding of false advertising (standing, confusion, materiality, effect of unclean hands finding). These grounds have been spelled out in our prior filings, both in response to the proposed judgment, and in our prior motions and briefs.

We assume that your clients will oppose both the application and motion. Please confirm your position.

We are not sure when the application and motion will be filed, but will deliver the papers as soon as they are finished (probably early next week).

Pending an order from Judge Anderson on these matters, DMV.ORG still plans to come into compliance with the judgment today, as I indicated in my prior email, regards,

Brian.



Circular 230 Notice: In accordance with Treasury Regulations we notify you that any tax advice given herein (or in any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used by any taxpayer, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax penalties or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein (or in any attachments).

Attention: This message is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments.

**From:** Mina Hamilton [mailto:HAMILTON@lbbslaw.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 28, 2008 5:04 PM  
**To:** Brian Daucher  
**Cc:** Dan DeCarlo; David Makous  
**Subject:** DMV.ORG -Injunction

Hello Brian,

We trust you received the Court's issued August 26 Injunction which is effective immediately, and is also attached hereto.

We will be checking in the morning for compliance by your clients.

Sincerely,

Mina I. Hamilton, Esq.

EXHIBIT A PAGE 3

Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP  
221 North Figueroa Street, Suite 1200  
Los Angeles, California 90012  
(213) 250-1800 (phone)  
(213) 250-7900 (fax)  
e-mail: hamilton@lbbslaw.com

\*\*\*\*\*

This message may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to act on behalf of the intended recipient) of this message, you may not disclose, forward, distribute, copy, or use this message or its contents. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail and delete the original message from your e-mail system. Thank you.

\*\*\*\*\*

EXHIBIT A PAGE 4